

“WHY ALL THE EXCITEMENT?”

(What's All the EXCITEMENT About Ron Paul?)

By Darryl Eberhart, Editor of “*Examining the Tough Issues (ETI)*” and “*Tackling the Tough Topics (TTT)*” newsletters

My writings can be viewed on www.toughissues.org, on www.pastorbutch.com (click on “Tackling the Tough Topics” in the left-hand column on their homepage), and on www.gordoncomstock.com (double click on the “Resources” box at the top of their homepage).

Updated through: March 22, 2008

(All EMPHASIS is MINE unless otherwise noted.)

A lot of people in the “patriot movement”, and in the so-called “alternative media”, are very EXCITED about Ron Paul’s running for the highest office in the land (i.e., his bid to become the 44th President of the United States). (Note: Ron Paul is a Republican congressman from Texas who is greatly loved by many in America’s “patriot movement”.) But WHY are these patriots so EXCITED? Does Ron Paul have a “snowball’s chance in hell” of winning the coveted office of President of the United States?

First, it is WELL KNOWN in the “patriot movement”, and in the so-called “alternative media”, that elections CAN BE, and ARE, “fixed” (especially in this age of “electronic voting”). Numerous articles (many of which can be found on the Internet) have been written warning about the potential of massive electronic (i.e., computer) vote fraud in modern-day elections in the USA. An excellent book, entitled “*VOTESCAM: The Stealing of America*” (co-authored by James M. Collier and Kenneth F. Collier), was published by Victoria House Press in 2000. This 405-page paperback book was the fruit of a 25-year investigation of computer vote fraud by the Collier brothers. Barnard L. Collier wrote the following “introductory words” for the book “*VOTESCAM*”: “*The authors assert, and back it up with daring reporting, that your vote and mine may now be a MEANINGLESS bit of energy directed by pre-programmed computers – which can be FIXED to select certain pre-ordained candidates and leave no footprints or paper trail. In short, computers are covertly STEALING your vote.*” (Emphasis is mine.) It was no surprise to many of us that America’s MAINSTREAM MEDIA, the courts, and government officials, for the most part, turned a deaf ear (and blind eye) to the evidence presented by the Collier brothers.

Well, the so-called “alternative media” HAS covered the subject of computer vote fraud. They have interviewed knowledgeable individuals on this topic, such as Vicki Karp and Devvy Kidd. And since many folks in the “patriot movement” listen in to the broadcast hosts of the so-called “alternative media”, they are aware of the great “potential” for massive computer vote fraud. And so I

must ask the following question: *"Knowing that computer vote fraud is probably the norm, and that any candidate who is not owned [i.e., bought and paid for] by the rich and power elite has about a 'snowball's chance in hell' of winning any election of importance, then why all the EXCITEMENT about Ron Paul?"*

Secondly, even if some stupendous "miracle" would occur the night before the election (e.g., ALL the electronic voting machines in the USA mysteriously malfunctioned at the same time and could not be fixed in time for the election), would a *"candidate who is not 'owned' by the rich and power elite"* then suddenly have a real chance of winning the election? After all, the rich and power elite would have already given the lion's share of mass media coverage to their favorite, handpicked frontrunners in both "establishment" parties (i.e., Republican and Democratic), candidates that had been "anointed and blessed" by them! (In fact, the rich and power elite have probably ALREADY decided WHICH candidate will win the coveted office of President of the United States in this upcoming presidential election!)

Something else to consider: In my opinion, probably the last candidate to run for President of the United States who, (1) was NOT "owned" by the rich and power elite, and (2) had a realistic chance to win, was former Alabama Governor **George Wallace**. In 1972, Wallace, to the surprise of many, had not only done well in several of the early primaries, but he was also leading in the polls in several of the upcoming primaries (including some outside of the South)! He had been pulling a nice percentage of the votes cast, and thus had the potential to at least "spoil" the election for the candidate that had been anointed by the elite. Since paper ballots were still around in many, if not most, states, it was then at least a little bit harder to "steal" elections from viable, independent-minded, truly patriotic American candidates. The real rulers behind the scenes in America started to get very worried that Wallace would take enough votes from their anointed candidate to change the course of the election! Well, these real rulers who control America from behind the scenes couldn't take a chance on that happening, and so on May 15, 1972, George Wallace was the victim of an assassination attempt that seriously wounded him and left him paralyzed. (By the way, the next day Wallace WON both the Michigan and Maryland Democratic primaries! Peter Levenda, author of *"Sinister Forces: A Grimoire of American Political Witchcraft"*, on page 283 of *"Book Two: A Warm Gun"* (TrineDay, Walterville, Oregon; 2006), tells us: *"His [Ed.: i.e., assassin Arthur Bremer] Charter Arms [Ed.: .38 caliber] revolver only held FIVE bullets... Yet, Wallace was struck by a minimum of four bullets, and possibly all five. Taking into consideration the fact that three other people were wounded in the fusillade [Ed.: a rapid discharge of firearms], it would appear that at least EIGHT bullets had been fired, which immediately raises the ugly specter of a SECOND shooter, and thus of a CONSPIRACY. In addition, Bremer's fingerprints were not found on the gun retrieved at the scene, even though the famous film footage of him shooting Wallace shows he was bare-handed and not wearing gloves."* (Emphasis is mine.)

Even if Ron Paul is a genuine "popular, patriot" candidate (who is not "owned" by the rich and power elite), would he ever be able to get a fair share of the mainstream media's attention? And even if he did, would he be able to win the Presidency in this day of computer vote fraud? And again, if by some miracle he did win (when all those electronic voting machines mysteriously malfunctioned at the same time the night before the election), wouldn't the rich and power elite quickly do to him what they did to George Wallace, or to JFK, RFK, and Pope John Paul I? (By the way, the chances of

all electronic voting machines malfunctioning at the same time the night before the election are quite slim!)

And that brings us to another question: Is Ron Paul a genuine “popular, patriot” candidate? After all, he does “SAY” all the right, patriotic words; and his voting record on key “patriot issues” is indeed exemplary. Most of the broadcast hosts in the so-called “alternative media” sing many praises to Ron Paul, and thus he is being put forth by them as the only presidential candidate who is a real patriot, and who truly has America’s best interests at heart. (More on Ron Paul later – but first I had better explain now why I have been using the term “so-called” in reference to America’s “alternative media”.)

I am using the term “so-called” for America’s “alternative media” because I truly believe that many broadcast hosts in the “alternative media” are CONTROLLED and sponsored by those powerful folks who really do rule America from behind the scenes. My research has shown me that JESUIT-controlled Papal Rome really does rule America through key individuals that she has placed at the top levels of our government, in the mainstream media, and in our intelligence and law enforcement agencies, etc. Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome does this through her Jesuits, Jesuit temporal coadjutors, Knights of Malta, high-level Knights of Columbus, and Jesuit-controlled high-level Freemasons, etc. Would it be reasonable for us to believe that Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome has totally ignored the “alternative media” in America, and that she has failed to place folks loyal to her in key positions in that media? If Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome has not placed some of her own folks in key positions within the “alternative media, then how does one explain the fact that so many “alternative media” broadcast hosts blame ALL the evils of the “New World Order” on “*the Jews, Zionists, Jewish neo-conservatives, and Israel*”, while largely, if not completely, IGNORING the immense power, influence, and wealth of Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome in the USA and around the world? Some of these “alternative media” broadcast hosts even blame “*the Jews*” for fomenting both World War I (WWI) and World War II (WWII), when the evidence shows that it was Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome that fomented both of those bloody wars! (If you doubt this, then please read some books by Edmond Paris, Avro Manhattan, and Eric Jon Phelps about Jesuit and Papal activity before and during WWI and WWII. Please also see my articles entitled “*Bloody Hands & Wicked Hearts*”, “*The Vatican’s Holocaust*”, “*Death by Government*”, “*Fifth Columnists*”, and “*Deceitful Revisers of History*” on Internet website www.toughissues.org. In several of those newsletters I have listed some good books for “further research” on the topic of Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome’s involvement in WWI and WWII.)

Indeed, some of the broadcast hosts in the so-called “alternative media” are clearly what I would call “HISTORY DENIERS” who actually SUPPRESS any information about the bloody history of Papal Rome, the sinister and nefarious activities of the Jesuit Order, and the Jesuit-controlled Vatican’s behind-the-scenes activities before and during WWI and WWII, etc. These same “alternative media” broadcast hosts also quickly CUT OFF (or ridicule) any caller who calls in and brings up anything negative about Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome or about the Jesuit Order itself! (A favorite “tactic” of some of these broadcast hosts is the old “ad hominem” attack, i.e., attacking the “messenger” rather than dealing with the “message”. They will say that the caller is “crazy” or “insane” to believe that Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome is so powerful, influential, and wealthy.) Thus I strongly believe that something is very WRONG here with many of these broadcast hosts in the SO-

CALLED “alternative media”, and that is why I am calling it the SO-CALLED “alternative media”. (Please see my article, entitled “*Simply Amazing*”, on website www.toughissues.org. It deals with this cover-up concerning Papal Rome by much of the so-called “alternative media”.)

Back to Ron Paul: Again, he does “SAY” all the right patriotic words, and his voting record is indeed exemplary on key “patriot issues”. However, might there be some questions about Ron Paul that need to be answered? Here are two questions that, to the best of my knowledge, have not yet been adequately addressed by a large segment of the so-called “alternative media”:

(1) Why has Ron Paul failed to address the IMMENSE power and influence of Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome right here in America? NOTE: If you doubt that Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome has a lot of power and influence here in the USA, then please read F. Tupper Saussy’s book, “*RULERS OF EVIL: Useful Knowledge About Governing Bodies*” (1999), and Paul Blanshard’s book, “*American Freedom and Catholic Power*” (1950).

(2) Why did Ron Paul, who is a Protestant (according to the “*Washington Post*”), write one of the greatest tributes to Pope John Paul II that I have ever read?

After all, Pope John Paul II did NOTHING to expose the culprits involved in the cruel MURDER of his predecessor, Pope John Paul I (who was MURDERED via the “poison cup” in 1978 by Jesuit-controlled, high-level, P2 Masons in Rome). (If you doubt that Pope John Paul I was MURDERED, then please read these two books: “*The Murder of John Paul I*” by Vance Ferrell and “*In God’s Name*” by David Yallop.) In fact, Pope John Paul II helped to PROTECT then-Bishop Paul Marcinkus from Italian authorities that wanted to question him about some rather “shady” activities that occurred under his watch while he was the head of the Vatican Bank! (Just prior to his murder, courageous Pope John Paul I was getting ready to REMOVE a lot of powerful Roman Catholic prelates, who were Jesuit-controlled P2 Masons, from the Roman Curia! Pope John Paul I had also wanted to get an investigation started into those “shady” activities of the Marcinkus-led Vatican Bank.)

Additionally, an “epidemic” of the sexual abuse of children by Roman Catholic priests took place “ON THE WATCH” of Pope John Paul II [pope: 1978-2005]. Roman Catholic author William H. Kennedy, in his excellent book “*Lucifer’s Lodge: Satanic Ritual Abuse in the Catholic Church*” (2004), states the following on page 12: “*The next year [Ed.: i.e., 2003] saw a whirlwind of media coverage concerning Law [Ed.: i.e., Cardinal Bernard Law, then-top prelate of Boston] and his complicity in the PROTECTION of over 100 alleged degenerate priests in his archdiocese. News from other nations revealed the international scope of the [Ed.: Roman Catholic] priest pedophile ring, which operated with the PROTECTION of the VATICAN.*” (Emphasis is mine.)

It is not just boys who have been victimized by priestly sexual abuse. On page 179 of his book, William H. Kennedy quotes the following from a 1-8-2002 article in the “*Boston Globe*”:

"According to the 1996 survey of nuns in the United States (which was intentionally never published by the [Ed.: Roman Catholic] Church but was leaked by some Vatican insider), it is reported that a minimum of 34,000 Catholic nuns (about 40% of all American nuns) claim to have been sexually abused. Three of every four of these nuns claimed they were sexually victimized by a priest, nun, or other religious person. ...All nuns who claimed repeated sexual exploitation reported that they were pressured by religious superiors for sexual favors." Priestly predators within the Roman Catholic Church are not only sexually molesting boys and nuns; they are also sexually molesting girls and women who sit in the pews and in the confessional. William H. Kennedy tells us on page 180 of his book: *"The extent of the sexual abuse of nuns is huge... The media blackout of the sexual abuse of women by clerics in both ritual and non-ritual cases is a great disgrace. The plight of male children who suffer under abusive priests is, of course, horrifying and should receive wide media coverage. However, the sexual abuse of nuns and women by clerics is a FAR LARGER problem."* (Emphasis is mine.)

Author William H. Kennedy sums up well this VATICAN PROTECTION of priestly sexual abuse on page 178 of his book: *"The policy of SILENCE and COVER-UP [Ed.: concerning sexual abuse by Roman Catholic clergy] promoted by the Vatican is mind-boggling. The vast amount of data which the Vatican collected concerning the sexual activity of clergy makes it clear that the POPE and [Ed.: the Roman] Curia were WELL AWARE of how wide-spread and common the sexual abuse problem was in the [Roman Catholic] Church. It did NOTHING to redress the situation but continued with a policy of COVER-UP and SILENCE. It seems that the only reason the Vatican ordered these secret sex studies was to get a global picture of where they stood and to develop informed strategies for HIDING the problem in the future. Never was the welfare of the victims of sexual abuse taken into consideration, nor was the question of broken chastity vows apparently of any real concern."* (Emphasis is mine.)

Again, this "epidemic" of priestly sexual abuse, and the VATICAN policy of SILENCE and COVER-UP, occurred "ON THE WATCH" of Pope John Paul II. (For more information on this topic, please see my newsletter, entitled "*Celibacy, Sexual Abuse, and the Catholic Church*", on www.toughissues.org.)

In view of what you have just read in the preceding five paragraphs, do you think that Ron Paul, or anyone else who is not a Roman Catholic apologist, should be writing such glowing tributes to Pope John Paul II? Is Ron Paul completely ignorant of all the facts mentioned in this article concerning Pope John Paul II? Is he also ignorant of Papal Rome's well-documented history of slaughtering Jews, Muslims (e.g., during the Crusades), independent Bible-believing Christians (e.g., the Albigenses and the Waldenses), Protestants, and Orthodox Christians? (Close to one million innocent Serb Orthodox Christians were slaughtered in the early 1940s in Roman Catholic Fascist Croatia, where the Roman Catholic Church and the Fascist Ustashi State COMBINED to carry out this barbaric religious genocide! Please see my newsletter, entitled "*The Vatican's Holocaust*", on www.toughissues.org.) Is Ron Paul ignorant of the Vatican's involvement in supporting Fascist regimes in Europe during World War II? Is he ignorant of the "Vatican Ratlines" that helped Nazi and Fascist war criminals to escape from Europe at the end of World War II? Is Ron Paul ignorant of the many statements made by popes, such as Pius IX, condemning the very liberties that we Americans cherish? Is he ignorant of those two secret meetings in the 19th century (Vienna and Verona) where

Roman Catholic monarchs, with the blessings of the **PAPACY**, planned the destruction of our Constitutional Republic? Is Ron Paul ignorant of Jesuit and Papal involvement in the assassination of Abraham Lincoln, and about those Roman Catholic priests who helped one of the arch conspirators, John Harrison Surratt, to hide out in Canada, and then later helped him to flee to Rome? (And are many of the broadcast hosts in the so-called "alternative media" ALSO ignorant of all these things?)

Something in all this excitement about Ron Paul's run for the Presidency does NOT add up. Years ago Pat Buchanan was touted by a populist, patriot "alternative media" paper as the true patriot candidate for the office of president. Yet Eric Jon Phelps, author of the book "*Vatican Assassins*", has stated that Pat Buchanan is a Knight of Malta! (The Knights of Malta are co-located in Rome with, and under the command of, the Jesuit Superior General!) Some of the SAME folks who touted Buchanan for the Presidency are now doing the same thing for Ron Paul!

So I guess all of this makes me wonder WHY so many folks in the patriot movement, and in the so-called "alternative media", are so EXCITED about Ron Paul's presidential run. Allow me to ask all of you (who are reading this article) these two questions one final time: (1) Even if Ron Paul is a genuine "popular, patriot" candidate, does he have a "SNOWBALL'S CHANCE IN HELL" of winning? (2) And if he does NOT have a chance to win, then WHY all this EXCITEMENT about his run for the Presidency of the United States?

P.S. Here are two Internet websites that address the FAILURE of much of the so-called "alternative media" to discuss the power and influence of Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome: www.arcticbeacon.com and www.SpirituallySmart.com. (These two websites address other key issues, such as the Jesuit "tradition" of blaming "the Jews" as fomenters of wars, and as the leaders of the New World Order.)

P.P.S. Here are some Internet websites (listed alphabetically) that have good articles about Jesuit-controlled Papal Rome: www.bereanbeacon.org, www.ctwilcox.com, www.gordoncomstock.com, www.vaticanassassins.org, www.vaticandesignexposed.com, and www.vyzygoth.com. Also, you can find some good books dealing with Papal Rome on website www.chick.com.